# A cross-sectional study to assess the metabolic syndrome prevalence and cardiovascular disease risk factors in HIVpositive men abstract number : 1005

#### Win-Long Lu<sup>a</sup>, Gwo-Tarng Sheu<sup>\*a,b</sup>, Yuan-Ti Lee<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan; <sup>b</sup> Department of Medical Oncology and Chest Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; <sup>c</sup> School of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University; <sup>d</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan.

## Specific aim:

To evaluate the risk factors associated with metabolic syndrome (MS) CVDs in HIV-positive men to improve future HIV management. **Results:** 

A total of 200 patients' data were collected with a mean age of 32.9 and patients were divided into two groups: group-1 contains 45 treatment-naive participants and group-2 includes 155 HAART treatment-experienced participants. MS prevalence between group-1 and group-2 were 18% and 31%, respectively. The Framingham Risk Score (FRS) for the naive and experienced groups were 4.7±4.2 and 3.87±5.92, respectively. High triglyceride (TG>150 mg/dl) in group-1 and group-2 were 15.6% and 36.6% (p<0.05), whereas lower HDL (< 39 mg/dl) in group-1 and group-2 presented as 76.7% versus 51% (p<0.05), respectively. In group-2, treatment with protease inhibitors (PIs) resulted in higher triglyceride levels when compared with non-nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and integrase inhibitors (IIs).

## **Conclusion:**

- MS prevalence in the treatment-naive group was lower than that of the treatment-experienced group
- High triglyceride level resulted in higher MS prevalence in the treatment-experienced group.
- The cardiovascular risk of FRS in the naive group was higher than that of the experienced group, which may result from the low HDL level.
- In group-2, an increasing triglyceride level of PIs indicated higher CVDs risk when compared with NNRTIs and IIs.

Demographics		Total	Group-1	Group-2	<i>p</i> value		
		n=200	n=45	n=155			
Gender	Male	200 (100%)	45 (22.5%)	155 (77.5%)			
Age (yr) $\pm$ SD		32.9±8.2	$30.5 \pm 7.6$	33.6±8.2	0.024*		
	20-30	81 (40.5%)	24 (53.3%)	57 (36.8%)	0.134		
	31-40	94 (47.0%)	17 (37.8%)	77 (49.7%)			
	$\geq 41$	25 (12.5%)	4 (8.9%)	21 (13.5%)			
Student	No	181 (90.5%)	37 (82.2%)	144 (92.9%)	0.031*		
	Yes	n=200n=4200 (100%)45 ( $32.9\pm 8.2$ $30.5$ $81 (40.5\%)$ 24 ( $94 (47.0\%)$ 17 ( $25 (12.5\%)$ 4 (8 $181 (90.5\%)$ 37 $19 (9.5\%)$ 8 ( $68 (34.0\%)$ 15 $132 (66.0\%)$ 30 $190 (95.0\%)$ 44 ( $10 (5.0\%)$ 1 (2 $155 (77.5\%)$ 32 $21 (10.5\%)$ 5 ( $24 (12.0\%)$ 8 ( $102 (51.0)$ 20 $23 (11.5)$ 3 ( $75 (37.5)$ 22 $82 (41.0)$ 15 $32 (16.0)$ 7 ( $86 (42.0)$ 23 $108 (54.0)$ 24	8 (17.8%)	11 (7.1%)			
Education	High school	68 (34.0%)	15 (33.3%)	53 (34.2%)	0.915		
	College	132 (66.0%)	30 (66.7%)	102 (65.8%)			
Marital status	No	190 (95.0%)	44 (97.8%)	146 (94.2%)	0.331		
	Yes	10 (5.0%)	1 (2.2%)	9 (5.8%)			
Occupation	Full-time	155 (77.5%)	32 (71.1%)	123 (79.4%)	0.379		
	Part-time	21 (10.5%)	5 (11.1%)	16 (10.3%)			
	Jobless	24 (12.0%)	8 (17.8%)	16 (10.3%)			
Smoking	No	102 (51.0)	20 (44.4)	82 (52.9)	0.159		
	MaleD $20-30$ $31-40$ $\geq 41$ NoYesHigh schoolCollegesNoYesFull-timePart-timeJoblessNoQuitYesNoQuitYesNoQuitYesNoYesNoYesNoYes	23 (11.5)	3 (6.7)	20 (12.9)			
	Yes	75 (37.5)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	53 (34.2)			
Education Marital status Decupation	No	82 (41.0)	15 (33.3)	67 (43.2)	0.421		
	Quit	32 (16.0)	7 (15.6)	25 (16.1)			
	Yes	86 (42.0)	23 (51.1)	63 (40.6)			
Regular	No	108 (54.0)	24 (53.3)	84 (54.2)	0.919		
exercise							
	Yes	92 (46.0)	21 (46.7)	71 (45.8)			

Variables		Total	Group-1	Group-2	<i>p</i> value	
		N=200	n=45	n=155	-	
Mean waist			$80.3 \pm 10.2$	81.1±10.0	0.635	
circumference						
Mean height	(cm)	171.8 <u>+</u> 6.1	$172.3 \pm 4.7$	171.6±6.5	0.427	
Mean weight	Iean weight (kg)		68.7 <u>±</u> 13.0	$67.2 \pm 12.5$	0.502	
BMI	≤17	12 (6.0%)	3 (6.7%)	9 (5.8%)	0.903	
	18-24	125 (62.5%)	29 (64.4%)	96 (61.9%)		
	≥25	63 (31.5%)	13 (28.9%)	50 (32.3%)		
Mean BMI		$22.8 \pm 3.8$	$23.1 \pm 4.3$	$22.7 \pm 3.7$	0.539	
Systolic blood	≤130 mmHg	146 (73.0%)	31 (68.9%)	115 (74.2%)	0.480	
pressure						
	≥131 mmHg	54 (27.0%)	14 (31.1%)	40 (25.8%)		
Mean SBP	(mmHg)	122.4 <u>+</u> 17.8	122.4 <u>+</u> 14.3	122.3 <u>+</u> 13.6	0.980	
Diastolic	≤80 mmHg	122 (61.0%)	25 (55.6%)	97 (62.6%)	0.395	
blood pressure						
	≥81 mmHg	78 (39.0%)	20 (44.4%)	58 (37.4%)		
Mean DBP	E × /		79.0 <u>±</u> 10.7	78.6 <u>+</u> 9.8	0.839	
Mean	(beat /min)	82.5±12.2	84.8 <u>+</u> 12.0	81.8±12.3	0.14	
Heartbeat						

Group-1: Naïve; Group-2: HAART

During the period from June 2014 to April 2016, a total of 200 HIV infected men in the hospital signed the consent form. After evaluating their HIV therapy, patients were divided into group-1 (n=45) that not taking cocktail therapy (Naïve), and group-2 (n=155) those taking HAART (Experienced), respectively (Table 1).

Group-1: Naïve; Group-2: HAART

There were no significant differences in BMI, average SBP, DBP and heartbeat in the two groups.

Table 3.Laboratory variables of the participants (N=200).				Table 4. Metabolic syndrome among naive and HAART patients by age (N=199).								1. Multi	ple comp	arison	between	every	two HAART	regimen	is based	d on lip		
Variables		Total	Group-1	Group-2	<i>p</i> value	Range of age	Group-1	Metabolic	%	Group-2	Metabo	olic %	profile	es by the	Turkeyh	onest	significan	t differ	ence (HSD)	est		
TG median	mg/dl	108.5	92.0	115.0	0.078			syndrome			syndroi	me	_									
TG level $(n-109)$	95% C.I.	(69.8, 165.3) 135 (68.2%)	(67.0, 132.5) 38 (84.4%)	(70.0, 181.0)	0.008*	20-30	24	2	8%	57	15	26%		;	< *							
TG level (n=198)	$\leq 150 \text{ mg/dl}$ $\geq 151 \text{ mg/dl}$	63 (31.8%)	7 (15.6%)	97 (63.4%) 56 (36.6%)	0.008	31-40	15	5	33%	78	20	26%	180 -									
HDL median	mg/dl	38.4	34.3	39.8	0.005*	41-50	3	1	33%	14	7	50%										
	95% C.I.	(31.8, 45.2)	(28.6, 39.8)	(32.5, 47.1)	0.002*		2	0		6	6		160 -									
HDL level (n=196)	<39 mg/dl ≥40 mg/dl	111 (56.6%) 85 (43.4%)	33 (76.7%) 10 (23.3%)	78 (51.0%) 75 (49.0%)	0.003*	>50	<u>Z</u>	0		0	0	100%	_									
CHO median	mg/dl	164.0	167.0	164.0	0.892	Total	44	8	18%	155	48	31%	_   140									
	95% C.I.	(140. 8,185.0)	(143.5, 186.0)	(140.0, 184.0)		Group-1: Naïv	e; Group-2:	HAART					140 -									
CHO level (n=198)	$\leq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$	169 (85.4%)	39 (86.7%)	130 (85.0%)	0.777																	
LDL median	$\geq$ 201 mg/dl mg/dl	<u>29 (14.6%)</u> 96.0	<u>6 (13.3%)</u> 101.0	<u>23 (15.0%)</u> 93.0	0.074								120 -									
LDL median	95% C.I.	(79.3, 116.0)	(78.0, 127.0)	(79.5, 114.0)	0.074	18% of partici	ipants in	<mark>ı group-1</mark>	had m	etabolic :	syndro	me and the			<b>•</b>							
LDL level (n=196)	$\leq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$	114 (58.2%)	20 (46.5%)	94 (61.4%)	0.080	prevalence wa	as 31% i	n groun-	2				100									
	≥101 mg/dl	82 (41.8%)	23 (53.5%)	59 (38.6%)		prevalence w		in Broab	<b>-</b> •				100 -									
Glucose	mg/dl	98	97	99	0.471								(lb/gl)									
median (n=196) CD4+ median	95% C.I. cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	<u>(73,325)</u> 472.5	<u>(77,135)</u> 442.0	(73,325) 479.0	0.391								6880									
	95% C.I.	(342.0, 633.8)	(338.5, 601.0)	(351.0, 642.0)	0.371								an									
CD4+ level	<200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	15 (7.5%)	2 (4.4%)	13 (8.4%)									Ш Е 60 -									
	$200-500 \text{ cells/mm}^3$	96 (48.0%)	26 (57.8%)	70 (45.2%)	0.291																	
VL median	>500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> copies/ml	<u>89 (44.5%)</u> 22.0	<u>17 (37.8%)</u> 20535.0	<u>72 (46.5%)</u> 20	0.000*	Table 5 Cardi	ovascular	risk among	g naïve a	nd HAART	(N=196)	)										
V L median	95% C.I.	(20. 9156)	(7813, 50567)		0.000	Terrer		Tata		C		$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left  CD \right\rangle \right)$	40 -									
VL level	≤20 copies/ml	98 (49.0%)	0	98 (63.2%)	$0.000^{*}$	Items		Tota		Group-1(±S	<u>(U</u>	$roup-2 (\pm SD)$										
	21-1000 copies/ml	36 (18.0%)	4 (8.9%)	32 (20.6%)		Numbers		196		42		154	20 -									
	>1000 copies/ml	66 (33.0%)	41 (91.1%)	25 (16.1%)		FRS (%)		4.53		4.70 (±4.2	0)	3.87 (±5.92)										
The level of statis	tical significance	was establish	ed at the <i>p</i> -valu	ue of <0.05							,											
Group-1: Naïve; (	Group-2: HAART	,				Age (mean)		32.9		29.95 (±7.1	.8) 3	33.70 (±8.32)	0									
Two participants la	cked TG and CHC	) data and four	r participants ha	ad no HDL, LDL	and	Heart age/vascul	ar age (me	an) 38		36.00 (±12.	14) 3	8.00 (±13.80)		PIs NN	RTIs IIs	Pls	NNRTIS	lls	PIs NNRTIs	lls P	Pls NNF	
glucose data.						Group-1: Naïve;	Group-2:	HAART						Т	G		СНО		HDL		LC	DL
n group-1, 15.6	5% of particip	ants had a h	high TG leve	l (>151 mg/c	D.	The results i	indicate	that grou	<mark>un-1 na</mark>	rticipant	s with	out HAART	The	TG was	significa	ntly h	nigher ar	nong	users of Pla	than a	mong	users
whereas, in gro								<u> </u>							-			-	nificantly I			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-			Suci lisk	or dev	cioping C	v D3.										-	
a significant dif	•		<b>č</b>										tidi	among	users 0	1 115 (	p-0.010	Jac	cording to	Tukeyr		nculat
found in choles	terol (CHO), lo	ow-density	lipoprotein	(LDL) and fas	ting																	
blood glucose (	Glucose), the	re was a sig	nificant diff	erence in the	high-																	
	tein (HDL) lev																					

## METHODS

#### Study design

This was a prospective cross-sectional study of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease risk factors in HIV-positive men attending a tertiary care hospital in central Taiwan. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Hospital's Research and Ethics Committee (IRB approval number CS14034).

#### **Study population**

The study population was made up of male adult patients and was diagnosed as HIV-1 positive by western blot or polymerase chain reaction analysis at the hospital.

# **Data collection**

In this study, a survey was used to collect 200 copies of case reports from the HIV-infected patients. The research analyzed the compliance of medication, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and treatment of viral resistance through systematic follow-up of medical guidance cases. The inclusion criteria were: (1) Age greater than or equal to 20 years old; (2) Diagnosis of AIDS confirmed (ICD9 042); (3) Only cases in this hospital and patients who have been treated for more than 6 months; and (4) Cases of HIV-infected patients taking either HAART (Experienced) or not taking cocktail therapy (Naïve) who are willing to accept the investigation and service. The exclusion criteria were: (1) Male patient younger than 20 years old; (2)When the subject, legal representative or person with consent is unable to read; (3) Incomplete data, or unable to assess efficacy; (4) Patient is tracked for less than 6 months in the hospital. This is a non-invasive treatment plan, so no withdrawal or rescue treatment conditions were included. Questionnaires were introduced to the subjects to obtain basic demographic data and history of education, occupation, HAART type and duration, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, exercise, antihypertensive and diabetic medication. Thereafter, blood pressure was taken in the sitting position after five minutes of rest. Weight, height and waist circumference were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI). MS was defined as the presence of 3 or more of the following 5 abnormalities for men: (1) Waist  $\geq$  90 cm, (2) Systolic blood pressure (SBP)  $\geq$  131 mmHg or Diastolic blood pressure (DBP)  $\geq$  81 mmHg, (3) HDL < 40 mg/dl, (4) Fasting glucose  $\geq$  100 mg/dl, and (5) Triglyceride (TG)  $\geq$  150 mg/dl.

## Statistical analysis

Data from the completed questionnaires and laboratory results were categorized as HIV-positive naïve (group-1) and HIV-positive treatment-experienced (group-2). Ten-year risk assessment for CVD was performed by the Framingham risk score (FRS) calculator [32] using age, diabetes, smoking, SBP, total cholesterol (TC) and HDL as predictors. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18 (Chicago IL, USA). Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables. The chi-squared test is used to determine whether there is a significant difference between one or more categories with numbers indicated. The level of statistical significance was established at the p-value of <0.05. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values between the three subgroups and calculated by the Tukey honest significant difference test (HSD).