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## Specific aim:

To evaluate the risk factors associated with metabolic syndrome (MS) CVDs in HIV-positive men to improve future HIV management
Results:
A total of 200 patients' data were collected with a mean age of 32.9 and patients were divided into two groups: group-1 contains 45 treatment-naive participants and group-2 includes 155 HAART treatment-experienced participants. MS prevalence between group-1 and group-2 were 18\% and 31\%, respectively. The Framingham Risk Score (FRS) for the naive and experienced groups were $4.7 \pm 4.2$ and $3.87 \pm 5.92$, respectively. High triglyceride (TG>150 mg/dl) in group-1 and group-2 were $15.6 \%$ and $36.6 \%$ ( $p<0.05$ ), whereas lower HDL (<39 mg/dl) in group-1 and group-2 presented as $76.7 \%$ versus $51 \%$ ( $p<0.05$ ), respectively. In group-2, treatment with protease inhibitors (PIs) resulted in higher triglyceride levels when compared with non-nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and integrase inhibitors (IIs).
Conclusion:
MS prevalence in the treatment-naive group was lower than that of the treatment-experienced group

- High triglyceride level resulted in higher MS prevalence in the treatment-experienced group.

The cardiovascular risk of FRS in the naive group was higher than that of the experienced group, which may result from the low HDL level.
In group-2, an increasing triglyceride level of PIs indicated higher CVDs risk when compared with NNRTIs and IIs.

| Demographics |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{n}=200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Group-1 } \\ \mathrm{n}=45 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group-2 } \\ & \mathrm{n}=155 \end{aligned}$ | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Male | 200 ( $100 \%$ ) | 45 (22.5\%) | 155 (77.5\%) |  |
| Age (yr) $\pm$ SD |  | $32.9 \pm 8.2$ | $30.5 \pm 7.6$ | $33.6 \pm 8.2$ | $0.024{ }^{*}$ |
|  | 20-30 | 81 (40.5\%) | 24 (53.3\%) | 57 (36.8\%) | 0.134 |
|  | 31-40 | 94 (47.0\%) | 17 (37.8\%) | 77 (49.7\%) |  |
|  | $\geqq 41$ | 25 (12.5\%) | 4 (8.9\%) | 21 (13.5\%) |  |
| Student | No | 181 (90.5\%) | 37 (82.2\%) | 144 (92.9\%) | 0.031* |
|  | Yes | 19 (9.5\%) | 8 (17.8\%) | 11 (7.1\%) |  |
| Education | High school | 68 (34.0\%) | 15 (33.3\%) | 53 (34.2\%) | 0.915 |
|  | College | 132 (66.0\%) | 30 (66.7\%) | 102 (65.8\%) |  |
| Marital status | No | 190 (95.0\%) | 44 (97.8\%) | 146 (94.2\%) | 0.331 |
|  | Yes | 10 (5.0\%) | 1 (2.2\%) | 9 (5.8\%) |  |
| Occupation | Full-time | 155 (77.5\%) | 32 (71.1\%) | 123 (79.4\%) | 0.379 |
|  | Part-time | 21 (10.5\%) | 5 (11.1\%) | 16 (10.3\%) |  |
|  | Jobless | 24 (12.0\%) | 8 (17.8\%) | 16 (10.3\%) |  |
| Smoking | No | 102 (51.0) | 20 (44.4) | 82 (52.9) | 0.159 |
|  | Quit | 23 (11.5) | 3 (6.7) | 20 (12.9) |  |
|  | Yes | 75 (37.5) | 22 (48.9) | 53 (34.2) |  |
| Drinking | No | 82 (41.0) | 15 (33.3) | 67 (43.2) | 0.421 |
|  | Quit | 32 (16.0) | 7 (15.6) | 25 (16.1) |  |
|  | Yes | 86 (42.0) | 23 (51.1) | 63 (40.6) |  |
| Regular exercise | No | 108 (54.0) | 24 (53.3) | 84 (54.2) | 0.919 |
|  | Yes | 92 (46.0) | 21 (46.7) | 71 (45.8) |  |
| The level of statistical significance* was established at a $p$-value of $<0.05$ Group-1: Naïve; Group-2: HAART |  |  |  |  |  |
| During the period from June 2014 to April 2016, a total of 200 HIV infected men in the hospital signed the consent form. After evaluating their HIV therapy, patients were divided into group-1 ( $\mathrm{n}=45$ ) that not taking cocktail therapy (Naïve), and group-2 ( $\mathrm{n}=155$ ) those taking HAART (Experienced), respectively (Table 1). |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 2. Basic physiological data of the participants (N=200). |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There were no significant differences in BMI, average SBP, DBP and heartbeat in the two groups. signed the consent form. After evaluating their HIV therapy, patients were divided into group-1 (Experis) taking cocktail therapy (Naïve), and group-2 ( $\mathrm{n}=155$ ) those taking HAART

| Variables |  | Total | Group-1 | Group-2 | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TG median } \\ & \text { TG level (n=198) } \end{aligned}$ | mg/dl | 108.5 | 92.0 | 115.0 | 0.078 |
|  | 95\% C.I. | (69.8. 165.3) | (67.0, 132.5) | (70.0, 181.0) |  |
|  | $\leq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ <br> $\geq 151 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135(68.2 \%) \\ & 63(31.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38(84.4 \%) \\ & 7(15.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97(63.4 \%) \\ & 56(36.60) \end{aligned}$ | $0.008{ }^{\text {* }}$ |
| HDL median HDL level ( $\mathrm{n}=196$ ) | ${ }_{\text {mg }}$ | 38.4 | 34.3 | ${ }^{39.8}$ | $0.005^{*}$ |
|  | 95\% C.I. | (31.8, 45.2) | (28.6, 39.8) | (32.5, 47.1) |  |
|  | $<39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ $\geq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1111(56.6 \%) \\ & 85(43.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33(76.7 \%) \\ & 10(23.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $78(51.0 \%)$ <br> 75 (49.0\%) | $0.003^{*}$ |
| CHO median CHO level (n=198) | mg/dl | 164.0 | 167.0 | 164.0 | 0.892 |
|  | 95\% C.I. | (140. 8,185.0) | (143.5, 186.0) | (140.0, 184.0) |  |
|  | $\leq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | 169 (85.4\%) | 39 (86.7\%) | 130 (85.0\%) | 0.777 |
|  | $\geq 201 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ll}$ | 29 (14.6\%) | $6(13.3 \%)$ | 23 (15.0\%) |  |
| LDL median LDL level ( $\mathrm{n}=196$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mg/dl } \\ & 95 \% \mathrm{C} .1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.0 \\ & (79.3,116.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.0 \\ & (78.0,127.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.0 \\ (79.5,114.0) \end{gathered}$ | 0.074 |
|  | $\leq 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 114.2 \% \\ 82(41.8 \%) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20(46.55) \\ & 23(53.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9446.44) \\ & 59(38.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 0.080 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Glucose } \\ & \text { median }(\mathrm{n}=196) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{95 \%}^{\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}} \mathrm{C.I}$. | 98 | 97 | $99$ | 0.471 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { mD } 4+\text { median }}{\mathrm{CD} 4+\text { level }} \end{aligned}$ | cells $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 472.5 | 442.0 | 479.0 | 0.391 |
|  | 95\% C.I. | (342.0, 633.8) | (338.5, 601.0) | (351.0, 642.0) |  |
|  | $<200$ cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 15 (7.5\%) | $2(4.4 \%)$ | 13 (8.4\%) |  |
|  | $200-500{\mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}}$ | 96 (4.0\%) | 26 (57.8\%) | 70 (45.2\%) | 0.291 |
|  | $>500 \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 89 (44.5\%) | $17(37.8 \%)$ | 72 (46.5\%) |  |
| VL median <br> VL level | copies/ml $95 \%$ C.I. | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \\ & (20.9156) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20535.0 $(7813,50567)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & (20,96) \end{aligned}$ | $0.000{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\leq 20$ copies $/ \mathrm{ml}$ | 98(49.0\%) |  | $98(63.2 \%)$ | $0.000{ }^{*}$ |
|  | ${ }^{21-1000 ~ c o p i e s / m l ~}$ | $36(18.0 \%)$ | ${ }^{(8.9 \%)}$ | $\left.{ }^{32} 220.6 \%\right)$ |  |
|  | $>1000$ copies ml | 66 (33.0\%) | 41 (91.1\%) | 25 (16.1\%) |  |
| The level of statistical significance** was established at the $p$-value of $<0.05$ Group-1: Naïve; Group-2: HAART <br> Two participants lacked TG and CHO data and four participants had no HDL, LDL and glucose data. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

In group-1, 15.6\% of participants had a high TG level ( $\geqq 151 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ), whereas, in group-2, $36.6 \%$ of patients presented a high level, resulting in a significant difference (Table 3). Although no significant differences were found in cholesterol (CHO), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and fasting blood glucose (Glucose), there was a significant difference in the highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) levels between the two groups.

## METHODS

Study design
This was a prospective cross-sectional study of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease risk factors in HIV-positive men attending a tertiary care hospital in central Taiwan. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Hospital's Research and Ethics Committee (IRB approval number CS14034).

## Study population

The study population was made up of male adult patients and was diagnosed as HIV-1 positive by western blot or polymerase chain reaction analysis at the hospital
Data collection
In this study, a survey was used to collect 200 copies of case reports from the HIV-infected patients. The research analyzed the compliance of medication, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and treatment of viral resistance through systematic follow-up of medical guidance cases. The inclusion criteria were: (1) Age greater than or equal to 20 years old; (2) Diagnosis of AIDS confirmed (ICD9 042); (3) Only cases in this hospital and patients who have been treated for more than 6 months; and (4) Cases of HIV-infected patients taking either HAART (Experienced) or not taking cocktail therapy (Naïve) who are willing to accept the investigation and service. The exclusion criteria were: (1) Male patient younger than 20 years old; (2)When the subject, legal representative or person with consent is unable to read; (3) Incomplete data, or unable to assess efficacy; (4) Patient is tracked for less than 6 months in the hospital. This is a non-invasive treatment plan, so no withdrawal or rescue treatment conditions were included. Questionnaires were introduced to the subjects to obtain basic demographic data and history of education, occupation, HAART type and duration, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, exercise, antihypertensive and diabetic medication. Thereafter, blood pressure was taken in the sitting position after five minutes of rest. Weight, height and waist circumference were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI). MS was defined as the presence of 3 or more of the following 5 abnormalities for men: (1) Waist $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$, (2) Systolic blood pressure (SBP) $\geq 131 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) $\geq 81 \mathrm{mmHg}$, (3) HDL < $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, (4) Fasting glucose $\geq 100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, and (5) Triglyceride (TG) $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

## Statistical analysis

Data from the completed questionnaires and laboratory results were categorized as HIV-positive naïve (group-1) and HIV-positive treatment-experienced (group-2). Ten-year risk assessment for CVD was performed by the Framingham risk score (FRS) calculator [32] using age, diabetes, smoking, SBP, total cholesterol (TC) and HDL as predictors. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18 (Chicago IL, USA). Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney $U$ test for non-normally distributed variables. The chi-squared test is used to determine whether there is a significant difference between one or more categories with numbers indicated. The level of statistical significance was established at the p-value of <0.05. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values between the three subgroups and calculated by the Tukey honest significant difference test (HSD).

